

**WOLVERHAMPTON CCG**  
**PRIMARY CARE JOINT COMMISSIONING COMMITTEE**  
**1<sup>st</sup> March 2016**

<b>Title of Report:</b>	<b>Update Report on Primary Care Programme Board Activity February 2016 (PCPB)</b>
<b>Report of:</b>	Manjeet Garcha - Chair PCPB
<b>Contact:</b>	Manjeet Garcha
<b>Primary Care Joint Commissioning Committee Action Required:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Decision</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Information</b>
<b>Purpose of Report:</b>	To update the PCJCC on PCPB activity for February 2016
<b>Public or Private:</b>	Public
<b>Relevance to CCG Priority:</b>	1,2a,2b,3,4 &5
<b>Relevance to Board Assurance Framework (BAF):</b>	Outline which Domain(s) the report is relevant to and why – See <a href="#">Notes</a> for further information
• <b>Domain 1:</b> A Well Led Organisation	[INSERT TEXT/ DELETE AS RELEVANT]
• <b>Domain 2a:</b> Performance – delivery of commitments and improved outcomes	[INSERT TEXT/ DELETE AS RELEVANT]
• <b>Domain 2b:</b> Quality (Improved Outcomes)	[INSERT TEXT/ DELETE AS RELEVANT]
• <b>Domain 3:</b> Financial Management	[INSERT TEXT/ DELETE AS RELEVANT]
• <b>Domain 4:</b> Planning (Long Term and Short Term)	[INSERT TEXT/ DELETE AS RELEVANT]



- **Domain 5:** Delegated Functions

**Domain 5: Delegated functions:** When approved this will include primary care and may, in time, include other services. This is in addition to the assurances needed for out-of-hours Primary Medical Services, given this is a directed rather than delegated function.



## **1. BACKGROUND AND CURRENT SITUATION**

- 1.1. The Primary Care Programme Board meets monthly and it was agreed that there will be a monthly summary report presented to the PCJCC.

## **2. MAIN BODY OF REPORT**

- 2.1. Summary of activity discussed on February 5<sup>th</sup> 2016.

2.1.1 Maternity Breastfeeding Peer Support Block Contract, a funding line of £25,000 was discussed and debated to remove from contract as The Royal Wolverhampton Hospitals NHS Trust had failed to provide the data to evidence the activity. Whilst there was significant support to remove the funding, an argument was put forward to continue the funding but provide it once activity data had been evidenced. This was in light of the City wide approach to reducing infant mortality. The continuation of the Breast Feeding Peer Support is absolutely necessary to improve breast feeding uptake amongst all new mothers and also to ensure problems are addressed early enough so that mothers feel supported and able to continue with breastfeeding.

2.1.2 Primary Care Review of Minor Injuries and Basket Services was presented for decision to commence in March/April 2016. The purpose of the review is for the CCG to understand the value that it is gaining from these two enhanced services and to explore best practice and future commissioning options for both services.

This item has been added to the agenda of all Locality Boards and full engagement with the GPs will take place before it is commenced. It is expected that a draft service specification will be presented to the PCPB in March for further discussion and decision. Consultation with LMC will be considered

2.1.3 Interpreting Services Procurement has been delayed due to Health Trust Europe Framework not being available; in the meantime the project lead is scoping the SBS Framework. This has been escalated for urgent action and it is anticipated a decision will be made before the end of the month.

2.1.4 It was noted that the CCG Commissioning Committee have approved the recommendation for CCG to undertake the Independent Living Service Procurement process.

2.1.5 An update was received on the progress for Urgent Care Centre opening ON 1<sup>ST</sup> April 2016 as per plan. Due to the unprecedented acute activity at RWT in the past few weeks, executive decisions have been held with a view to mobilise the opening of the UCC in March. This is currently being scoped with the Provider and RWT to iron out some practical and technical issues.

2.1.6 Diabetes Pathway Equality Impact Assessment was tabled and received.



2.1.7 Choose and Book exception report was received in light of a delay in the implementation due to a small cohort of consultants not having their 'smart cards' ready to use. This has been escalated through the contract meetings as this agenda item has been held for a while now without progress.

2.1.8 Future activity is as per plan for 2016/17 schemes.

### **3. CLINICAL VIEW**

3.1 Clinical view is afforded by the Director of Nursing and Quality and also Dr Dan De Rosa, CCG Chair. Dr De Rosa has recently requested to attend meetings if his diary will allow and also to be sent papers and minutes etc. so there is opportunity to provide comment.

### **4. PATIENT AND PUBLIC VIEW**

4.1 The PCPB ensures that all schemes have an EIA completed and patient and public views are sought as per requirement.

### **5. RISKS AND IMPLICATIONS**

#### **5.1 Key Risks**

The PCPB has reviewed its risk register and it is in line with the CCG requirement.

#### **5.2 Financial and Resource Implications**

All exceptions are reported to the QIPP Board and full discussion held re risk and mitigation.

#### **5.3 Quality and Safety Implications**

Quality and Risk Team are fully sighted on all activity and the EIAs include a Quality Impact Assessment which is signed off by the CCG Head of Quality and Risk

#### **5.4 Equality Implications**

A robust system has been put in place whereby all schemes have a full EIA undertaken at the scoping stage.



## 5.5 Medicines Management Implications

There are no implications in this report regarding medicines management, however, full consultation is sought with Head of Medicines Management for all schemes presented.

## 5.6 Legal and Policy Implications

There are no legal implications.

# 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

## 6.1 To **RECEIVE** and **Note** the actions being taken.

Name: Manjeet Garcha  
Job Title: Director of Nursing and Quality  
Date: 20<sup>th</sup> February 2016



## REPORT SIGN-OFF CHECKLIST

This section must be completed before the report is submitted to the Admin team. If any of these steps are not applicable please indicate, do not leave blank.

	Details/ Name	Date
Clinical View	MGARCHA DR De Rosa	5 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2016
Public/ Patient View		
Finance Implications discussed with Finance Team	QIPP BOARD	18 Feb 2016
Quality Implications discussed with Quality and Risk Team		5 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2015
Medicines Management Implications discussed with Medicines Management team		5 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2016
Equality Implications discussed with CSU Equality and Inclusion Service		5 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2015
Information Governance implications discussed with IG Support Officer		
Legal/ Policy implications discussed with Corporate Operations Manager		
Signed off by Report Owner (Must be completed)	M Garcha	20 <sup>th</sup> Feb 2016

